

Focus

- ✓ First Reaction
 - Governance Spotlight
 - Regulatory Overview
 - Thematic Research
- ✓ Event Based Research
 - General Commentary

Related research

[Unlisted Subsidiaries: The New Cloaking Device;](#) 2014

[Listing of L&T's subsidiaries: Management creates its own 'options';](#) 2016

Subscribe to
[IiAS Research](#)

Write to us
solutions@iias.in

SEBI's denial of L&T's buyback rekindles an old debate

SEBI's rejection of Larsen & Toubro Limited's (L&T) buyback raises questions on whether financial metrics should be considered at a consolidated level or at a standalone level. This refusal brings to the forefront the concern that much gets hidden in subsidiaries.

SEBI's rejection of L&T's buyback on account of the fact that consolidated debt-equity is a breach of its regulatory threshold of 2:1 post-buyback is possibly the first time that the regulator has raised the question of consolidated financial metrics vs. standalone financial metrics. Perhaps a lesson from the recent meltdown in the IL&FS group is playing on SEBI's mind. Even so, we argue that while L&T is not incorrect its stance, the regulator too has a point of view that should not be ignored.

Most of the push-back to SEBI's response comes from the fact that L&T's consolidated debt includes the debt of its financial services vertical – L&T Finance Holdings Limited (LTFHL; an NBFC-CIC) and L&T Finance Limited (the operating company). While a requirement of a debt-equity of 2:1 is text-book prudence for manufacturing companies, it is not so for companies in the financial services space. NBFCs, given the nature of their business, operate at a higher debt-equity: an NBFC with a debt-equity of 5x isn't unusual

The argument for a carve out of the NBFC is arguably weak because of L&T's implicit support to the credit profile of its subsidiaries, which includes the financial services business. [L&T Finance Holdings Limited's ICRA AAA/Stable](#) ratings "draw significant strength from LTFHL's parentage, with Larsen & Toubro Ltd. (L&T, rated [ICRA]AAA/Stable) holding 64.01% (as on June 30, 2018) equity in the company, and LTFH group's increased strategic importance to the parent with financial services being a focus area for the L&T group and LTFHL being the holding company for L&T Group's financial services business." At best one can argue that the 2:1 debt-equity threshold is harsh because L&T has an NBFC in the group – but that argument is never-ending (it could then lead to companies asking for industry-specific debt-equity levels, and so on and so forth): the 2:1 debt-equity requirement must be looked at as a guidance to companies that they should not be considering buybacks if they have large debt on their books.

Large companies have complex structures. There are companies in the NIFTY 50 that have over 100 subsidiaries with several of these outside India. In the past, IiAS has protested against debt being raised in subsidiaries not requiring the shareholders' approval - in the listed entity. For example, if L&T raises debt for one of its projects that is being held in a special purpose vehicle (SPV), the board (or even management) of L&T

can decide this quantum unilaterally, without shareholder approval. When shareholders approve borrowing limits of companies, it is only for the standalone entity. As a result, [shareholders do not have control over consolidated debt](#) – they can only hope that the board of the listed holding company is prudent in raising debt across subsidiaries (Exhibit 1: Standalone debt vs consolidate debt of NIFTY 50 companies on 31 March 2018). The same logic extends to remuneration from subsidiaries (UPL Limited) and related party transactions that take place through unlisted subsidiaries (the Rs.1.25 bn Cairn-Vedanta loan).

IiAS believes that consolidated financial metrics is a prudent method of defining parameters. When investors buy equity in a company, they are buying equity in the entire structure – a Tata Motors Limited's shareholder is equally invested in the performance of Jaguar Land Rover (JLR) and not simply with the domestic business. Only factoring in the standalone financial statement misses the bigger picture.

Notwithstanding the arguments, if L&T appeals SEBI's order, the debate is likely to focus on the intent of the regulation and the letter of the law. Prescriptive regulation tends to create such ambiguity – and more so because [SEBI \(Share Based Employee Benefits\) Regulations, 2014](#) do not specifically mention that the 2:1 debt-ratio related to consolidated debt / consolidated equity. In carefully wording the regulations, such conflicts can be avoided: regulators need to make clear the intent of their regulation while crafting them.

Exhibit 1: Debt levels of NIFTY 50 companies on 31 March 2018

Sr. No.	Company Name	Standalone Debt (Rs. Bn.)	Consolidated Debt (Rs. Bn.)	Consolidated / Standalone (x)
1	Adani Ports and Special Economic Zone Ltd.	201.19	222.04	1.10
2	Asian Paints Ltd.	0.11	5.33	47.25
3	Axis Bank Ltd.	1,480.16	1,557.67	1.05
4	Bajaj Auto Ltd.	1.21	1.21	1.00
5	Bajaj Finance Ltd.	623.93	654.77	1.05
6	Bajaj Finserv Ltd.	-	647.19	-
7	Bharat Petroleum Corporation Ltd.	233.51	376.59	1.61
8	Bharti Airtel Ltd.	654.16	1,113.34	1.70
9	Bharti Infratel Ltd.	-	-	-
10	Cipla Ltd.	1.75	40.98	23.48
11	Coal India Ltd.	-	15.38	-
12	Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd.	25.89	50.71	1.96
13	Eicher Motors Ltd.	0.86	1.51	1.75
14	GAIL (India) Ltd.	20.81	32.20	1.55

Sr. No.	Company Name	Standalone Debt (Rs. Bn.)	Consolidated Debt (Rs. Bn.)	Consolidated / Standalone (x)
15	Grasim Industries Ltd.	29.69	672.04	22.64
16	HCL Technologies Ltd.	0.48	5.59	11.65
17	HDFC Bank Ltd.	1,231.05	1,564.42	1.27
18	Hero MotoCorp Ltd.	-	2.28	-
19	Hindalco Industries Ltd.	202.97	520.74	2.57
20	Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd.	209.91	219.52	1.05
21	Hindustan Unilever Ltd.	-	-	-
22	Housing Development Finance Corporation Ltd.	3,199.12	3,366.30	1.05
23	ICICI Bank Ltd.	1,828.59	2,294.02	1.25
24	Indiabulls Housing Finance Ltd.	1,066.08	1,135.55	1.07
25	Indian Oil Corporation Ltd.	580.30	656.50	1.13
26	IndusInd Bank Ltd.	382.89	382.89	1.00
27	Infosys Ltd.	-	-	-
28	ITC Ltd.	0.18	0.36	2.00
29	JSW Steel Ltd.	365.19	398.69	1.09
30	Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd.	251.54	586.04	2.33
31	Larsen & Toubro Ltd.	105.61	1,075.24	10.18
32	Mahindra & Mahindra Ltd.	29.58	558.98	18.90
33	Maruti Suzuki India Ltd.	1.66	1.76	1.06
34	NTPC Ltd.	1,216.06	1,300.50	1.07
35	Oil & Natural Gas Corporation Ltd.	255.92	1,061.58	4.15
36	Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.	1,312.13	1,315.03	1.00
37	Reliance Industries Ltd.	1,168.81	2,196.33	1.88
38	State Bank of India	3,621.42	3,690.79	1.02
39	Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.	68.84	103.85	1.51
40	Tata Consultancy Services Ltd.	2.25	2.47	1.10
41	Tata Motors Ltd.	184.64	889.50	4.82
42	Tata Steel Ltd.	281.26	921.47	3.28
43	Tech Mahindra Ltd.	2.55	23.97	9.41
44	Titan Company Ltd.	-	0.79	-
45	Ultratech Cement Ltd.	174.20	194.80	1.12
46	UPL Ltd.	11.22	66.38	5.92
47	Vedanta Ltd.	407.13	581.59	1.43
48	Wipro Ltd.	58.03	138.26	2.38
49	Yes Bank Ltd.	748.94	748.94	1.00
50	Zee Entertainment Enterprises Ltd.	15.27	15.28	1.00
Total		22,257.06	31,411.36	1.41

Source: ACE Equity

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS). The information contained herein is solely from publicly available data, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete and it should not be relied on as such. IiAS shall not be in any way responsible for any loss or damage that may arise to any person from any inadvertent error in the information contained in this report. This document is provided for assistance only and is not intended to be and must not be taken as the basis for any voting or investment decision. The user assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Each recipient of this document should make such investigation as it deems necessary to arrive at an independent evaluation of the individual resolutions referred to in this document (including the merits and risks involved). The discussions or views expressed may not be suitable for all investors. The information given in this document is as of the date of this report and there can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with this information. This information is subject to change without any prior notice. IiAS reserves the right to make modifications and alterations to this statement as may be required from time to time. However, IiAS is under no obligation to update or keep the information current. Nevertheless, IiAS is committed to providing independent and transparent recommendation to its client and would be happy to provide any information in response to specific client queries. Neither IiAS nor any of its affiliates, group companies, directors, employees, agents or representatives shall be liable for any damages whether direct, indirect, special or consequential including lost revenue or lost profits that may arise from or in connection with the use of the information. The disclosures of interest statements incorporated in this document are provided solely to enhance the transparency and should not be treated as endorsement of the views expressed in the report.

Confidentiality

This information is strictly confidential and is being furnished to you solely for your information. This information should not be reproduced or redistributed or passed on directly or indirectly in any form to any other person or published, copied, in whole or in part, for any purpose. This report is not directed or intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction, where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law, regulation or which would subject IiAS to any registration or licensing requirements within such jurisdiction. The distribution of this document in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law, and persons in whose possession this document comes, should inform themselves about and observe, any such restrictions. The information provided in these reports remains, unless otherwise stated, the copyright of IiAS. All layout, design, original artwork, concepts and other Intellectual Properties, remains the property and copyright of IiAS and may not be used in any form or for any purpose whatsoever by any party without the express written permission of the copyright holders.

IiAS Voting Guidelines

IiAS' voting recommendations are based on a set of guiding principles, which incorporate the basic tenets of the legal framework along with the best practices followed by some of the better governed companies. These policies clearly list out the rationale and evaluation parameters which are taken into consideration while finalizing the recommendations. The detailed [IiAS Voting Guidelines](#) are available at our website. The draft report prepared by the analyst is referred to an internal Review and Oversight Committee (ROC), which is responsible for ensuring consistency in voting recommendations, alignment of recommendations to the IiAS' voting criteria and setting and maintaining quality standards of IiAS' proxy reports. Details regarding the functioning and composition of the ROC committee are available at <https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about>. In undertaking its activities, IiAS relies on information available in the public domain i.e. information that is available to public shareholders. However, in order to provide a more meaningful analysis, IiAS, generally seeks clarifications from the subject company. IiAS reserves the right to share the information provided by the subject company in its reports. Further details on IiAS policy on communication with subject companies are available at <https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about>.

Analyst Certification

The research analyst(s) for this report certify/ies that no part of his/her/their compensation was, is or will be, directly or indirectly related to specific recommendations or views expressed in this report. IiAS' internal policies and control procedures governing the dealing and trading in securities by employees are available at <https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about>.

Conflict Management

IiAS and its research analysts may hold a nominal number of shares in the companies that IiAS covers (including the subject company), as on the date of this report. A list of IiAS' shareholding in companies is available at <https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about>.

However, IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have actual/beneficial ownership of one per cent. or more securities of the subject company, at the end of the month immediately preceding the date of publication of this report. A list of shareholders of IiAS as of the date of this report is available at <https://www.iiasadvisory.com/about>. However, the preparation of this report is monitored by an internal Review and Oversight Committee (ROC) of IiAS and is not subject to the control of any company to which such report may relate and which may be a shareholder of IiAS.

Other Disclosures

IiAS is a SEBI registered research entity (proxy advisor registration number: INH000000024) dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinions, research and data on corporate governance issues as well as voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions of about 750 listed Indian companies (<https://www.iiasadvisory.com/iias-coverage-list>). Our products and services include voting advisory reports, standardized services under the Indian Corporate Governance Scorecard, and databases (www.iisadrian.com and www.iiascompayre.com). There are no significant or material orders passed against the company by any of the Regulators or Courts/Tribunals.

IiAS further confirms that, save as otherwise set out above or disclosed on IiAS' website (www.iias.in):

- IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have any financial interest in the subject company.
- IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, do not have any other material conflict of interest at the time of publication of this report.
- None of IiAS, the research analyst(s) responsible for this report, and their associates or relatives, have received any compensation from the subject company or any third party in the past 12 months in connection with the provision of services of products (including investment banking or merchant banking or brokerage services or any other products and services), or managed or co-managed public offering of securities of the subject company.
- The research analyst(s) responsible for this report has not served as an officer, director or employee of the subject company in the past twelve months.
- None of IiAS or the research analyst(s) responsible for this report have been engaged in market making activity for the subject company.



markets \cap governance

About IiAS

Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS) is a proxy advisory firm, dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinion, research and data on corporate governance issues as well as voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions for over 650 companies. IiAS provides bespoke research, valuation advisory services and assists institutions in their engagement with company managements and their boards.

In addition to voting advisory, IiAS offers two cloud based solutions - IiAS ADRIAN, and comPAYre. IiAS ADRIAN captures shareholder meetings and voting data and provides packaged data that can be used to gain insights on how investors view specific issues and gain greater predictability regarding how they might vote. comPAYre provides users access to remuneration data for executive directors across S&P BSE 500 companies over a five-year period.



Office

Institutional Investor Advisory Services
Ground Floor, DGP House,
88C Old Prabhadevi Road,
Mumbai - 400 025
India

Contact

solutions@iias.in
T: +91 22 6123 5509 / +91 22 6123 5555